Sequential afatinib and osimertinib in patients with EGFR mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer: updated analysis of the observational GioTag study
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Aims: Overall survival (OS) and updated time to treatment failure (TTF) analysis of patients with EGFR mutation-positive (Del19, L858R) non-small-cell lung cancer who received sequential afatinib/osimertinib in the real-world GioTag study. Patients & methods: Patients had T790M-positive disease following first-line afatinib and received osimertinib treatment (n = 203). Primary outcome was TTF. The OS analysis was exploratory. Results: Median OS was 41.3 months (90% CI: 36.8–46.3) overall and 45.7 months (90% CI: 45.3–51.5) in patients with Del19-positive tumors (n = 149); 2-year survival was 80 and 82%, respectively. Updated median TTF with afatinib and osimertinib was 28.1 months (90% CI: 26.8–30.3). Conclusion: Sequential afatinib/osimertinib was associated with encouraging OS/TTF in patients with EGFR T790M-positive non-small-cell lung cancer, especially in patients with Del19-positive tumors.
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Several EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) are now available for the treatment of EGFR mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC): the first-generation, reversible EGFR TKIs, erlotinib and gefitinib; the second-generation irreversible ErbB family blockers, afatinib and dacomitinib and the third-generation irreversible EGFR TKI, osimertinib. Recent randomized trials have demonstrated that afatinib [1], dacomitinib [2] and osimertinib [3] all confer significantly improved progression-free survival versus first-generation TKIs. However, in the absence of any head-to-head data, it is unclear whether it is best to use second- or third-generation TKIs as upfront treatment of choice. Furthermore, it is currently unclear which agent, or sequence of agents, maximize overall survival (OS), the most important measure of treatment efficacy.

Osimertinib in a first-line treatment setting has demonstrated strong clinical activity and tolerability in patients with EGFR mutation-positive NSCLC [3]. However, it is also approved for, and demonstrated impressive activity as, second-line treatment in patients with T790M-positive tumors [4], the predominant mechanism of acquired resistance to first- and second-generation EGFR TKIs (∼50–70% of cases [5–8]). Given that, as yet, no estab-
lished targeted treatment options are available following failure of osimertinib, there is an argument for reserving osimertinib for second-line use following failure of a second-generation EGFR TKI.

No prospective OS data are currently available to compare different sequential regimens of EGFR TKIs. However, retrospective analysis of clinical trial data [9], and real-world cohort studies [5,10,11], indicate that sequential use of afatinib and osimertinib is feasible and confers prolonged periods of chemotherapy-free treatment in patients with T790M-positive tumors. Given the paucity of data, we undertook the observational, retrospective, global, multicenter GioTag study. This study assessed 204 EGFR TKI-naive patients, treated in a ‘real-world’ clinical setting, who received first-line afatinib, went on to develop T790M-positive acquired resistance and subsequently received second-line osimertinib [12]. Median time to treatment failure (TTF), the primary outcome, was 27.6 months (90% CI: 25.9–31.3 months) and was particularly encouraging in patients with an \( \text{EGFR Del19 activating mutation} \) (30.3 months) and Asian patients (46.7 months).

At the initial database lock (May 2018), patients enrolled onto GioTag had been followed up for a median of 28.2 months (range: 14.0–96.8 months). At this time, 63 patients had died (30.9%) and OS analysis was immature. Here, we describe an interim analysis (database lock: April 2019) at which point 42% of patients had experienced an OS event. We have also reanalyzed TTF.

**Patients & methods**

**Study design**

The design of the GioTag study was described previously [12]. In brief, it is a global, observational study conducted across ten countries (Austria, Canada, Israel, Italy, Japan, Singapore, Slovenia, Spain, Taiwan and USA; NCT03370770). The analysis was restricted to patients aged \( \geq 18 \) years with common \( \text{EGFR activating mutations} \) (Del19/L858R), who received first-line afatinib and had a documented T790M mutation (as per local methodology and practice) following its failure. In order to limit selection bias, each participating center assessed the health records of a maximum of 15 consecutive patients between 28 December 2017 and 31 May 2018. In order to limit selection bias, each participating center assessed the health records of a maximum of 15 consecutive patients between 28 December 2017 and 31 May 2018. All patients must have initiated osimertinib \( \geq 10 \) months prior to enrollment to avoid early censoring and ensure mature data. Data were collected from two different sources: directly from sites via manual medical chart review (n = 77; 38%) or from electronic health records (n = 126; 62%) supplied by Cardinal Health (OH, USA). Verification of source data was undertaken for 30% of patients. All patients provided informed consent.

**Outcomes & assessments**

The primary outcome was TTF, defined as the time from the first dose of afatinib to that of the last dose of osimertinib or death. Analysis of OS was exploratory.

**Statistical analysis**

For this interim analysis, updated data were collected from 94 patients for whom electronic health records were available (all from the USA). The use of electronic data, which facilitated rapid analysis, represented the first step of a two-step process. This two-step approach was necessary because database lock was different for the two different data sources. Final analysis, incorporating data from manual chart reviews of a further 29 patients is anticipated in early 2020. The TTF and OS were estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method; for patients still on treatment, TTF was censored at the date of data collection.

**Results**

**Patients**

Baseline characteristics of the 204 patients included in the analysis have been described previously [12]. The GioTag population reflected real-world clinical practice and included patients with ECOG PS of \( \geq 2 \) (15.2%) and those with CNS metastases (10.3%). Patients were predominantly Caucasian (58.8%) but also included Asians (24.5%) and African–Americans (8.8%). At the start of afatinib treatment, 73.5% of patients had a Del19 mutation and 26.0% had the L858R mutation. One patient had both Del19 and L858R mutation. Most patients received the approved starting doses of afatinib (40 mg/day; 83.7%) and osimertinib (80 mg/day; 98.0%). At the time of database lock (April 2019), 85 (41.9%) patients had died, 26 (12.8%) were lost to follow-up, and 92 (45.3%) were alive including 63 (31.0%) who remained on osimertinib. One patient was excluded from the analysis due to reports of conflicting data.
Figure 1. Overall time to treatment failure with sequential afatinib and osimertinib. (A) All patients; (B) patients with Del19-positive tumors.
TTF: Time to treatment failure.

Time to treatment failure
Updated median TTF for sequential afatinib and osimertinib was 28.1 months (90% CI: 26.8–30.3; Figure 1A). Median TTF was 30.6 months (90% CI: 27.6–32.0; Figure 1B) in patients with Del19-positive tumors. Median TTF on osimertinib was 15.6 months (90% CI: 13.8–17.1) overall and 16.4 months (90% CI: 14.9–17.9) in patients with Del19-positive tumors.
Overall survival
After a median follow-up of 30.3 months (interquartile range: 24.0–36.8), median OS was 41.3 months (90% CI: 36.8–46.3; Figure 2A). The 2-year OS rate was 80%. Median OS was 45.7 months (90% CI: 45.3–51.5; Figure 2B) in patients with Del19-positive tumors (2-year OS rate of 82%).

Outcomes in patients who started on afatinib 40 mg/day
In patients who started on the approved afatinib dose (40 mg/day; n = 168), the 2-year OS rate was 82% and median OS was 45.3 months (90% CI: 45.3–51.5; Supplementary Figure 1). In patients with Del19-positive tumors (n = 122), median OS was 45.7 months (90% CI: 45.3–51.5). Median TTF was 28.1 months (90% CI: 26.8–30.6) overall (Supplementary Figure 2) and 30.6 months (90% CI: 27.6–33.8) in patients with Del19-positive tumors.

Discussion
In this updated analysis of the GioTag study, sequential afatinib and osimertinib conferred OS of almost 3.5 years, and TTF of over 2 years, in a broad population of patients with EGFR mutation-positive NSCLC treated in a real-world clinical setting. We acknowledge that these data are retrospective, subject to selection bias and do not substitute for prospective trials of sequential EGFR TKI regimens. Nevertheless, given the paucity of prospective OS data at the moment, these findings suggest that sequential treatment with afatinib followed by osimertinib is worthy of further clinical evaluation. Furthermore, of note, prior treatment with afatinib did not appear to preclude prolonged TTF with second-line osimertinib (15.6 months), suggesting that the activity of osimertinib may not be substantially diminished if used in a second-line setting.

In patients with Del19-positive tumors, OS was almost 4 years, overall TTF was 2.5 years and TTF with osimertinib was 16.4 months. Previous studies have consistently demonstrated that Del19 is a marker of favorable prognosis and predicts better outcomes with EGFR TKIs than L858R. Indeed, afatinib previously demonstrated OS benefit versus chemotherapy in patients with Del19-positive tumors in the Phase III LUX-Lung 3 (median: 33.3 vs 21.1 months; HR: 0.54; 95% CI: 0.36–0.79; p = 0.0015) and LUX-Lung 6 (median: 31.4 vs 18.4 months; HR: 0.64; 95% CI: 0.44–0.94; p = 0.023) trials. Accordingly, Del19- and L858R-positive tumors should possibly be regarded as two different disease entities. The reasons underlying differences in sensitivity of these tumors to EGFR TKIs are not completely understood but probably reflect differences in the impact of the mutations on the tertiary structure of the receptor and downstream signaling cascades. Our data suggest that sequential afatinib and osimertinib may be a promising treatment option in patients with Del19-positive tumors, especially when one considers that these tumors have a higher likelihood of acquiring T790M (~75%) than L858R-positive tumors (up to 58%) [5,15,16]. As all the updated data in this analysis were derived from patients treated in the USA, few additional data are yet available for Asian patients included in GioTag (who, in the initial analysis, showed very encouraging TTF of 46.7 months). For this reason, we were unable to assess OS in the Asian subgroup at this time (OS maturity in Asian patients is currently 28%). Given the encouraging TTF in Asians, we envisage that OS will be increased when these patients are incorporated into the final analysis.

While the selection of first-line EGFR TKI therapy in patients with EGFR mutation-positive NSCLC is a complex question, the likelihood of maximizing OS is clearly an important consideration. Several prospective trials indicate that second-generation EGFR TKIs provide encouraging OS versus first-generation EGFR TKIs in this setting. Exploratory analysis of the Phase III ARCHER 1050 trial demonstrated that dacomitinib significantly improved OS versus gefitinib (median: 34.1 vs 26.8 months; HR: 0.76; 95% CI: 0.58–0.99; p = 0.044 [17]). In the Phase IIB LUX-Lung 7 trial (which, unlike ARCHER 1050, included patients with brain metastases, an indicator of poor prognosis) median OS with afatinib was 27.9 months versus 24.5 months with gefitinib (HR: 0.86; 95% CI: 0.66–1.12; p = 0.258 [18]). Very few patients treated with dacomitinib or afatinib in these studies went on to receive osimertinib (n = 22 and n = 20, respectively), reflecting its limited availability at the time. Nevertheless, retrospective analysis of these patients demonstrated that median OS was 36.7 months with sequential dacomitinib and a third-generation TKI, and not reached (3-year OS rate of ~90%) with sequential afatinib and a third-generation TKI, respectively [17,18]. In the AURA and AURA2 trials, which assessed osimertinib following failure of first-line EGFR TKI treatment (n = 411), median OS with second- or later-line osimertinib was 26.8 months [19]. Overall, therefore, the results of GioTag add to a growing body of evidence supporting further clinical evaluation of sequential EGFR TKIs.
Figure 2. Overall survival in patients treated with sequential afatinib and osimertinib therapy. (A) All patients; (B) patients with Del19-positive tumors. OS: Overall survival.
The results of the current study, and other studies, pose the question of whether it is preferable to utilize osimertinib as first-line therapy, or whether it is better to hold it back for second-line use. On one hand, first-line osimertinib is highly active, with progression-free survival according to independent central review of 17.7 months in the Phase III FLAURA trial [3]. Also, as it is EGFR wild-type sparing, osimertinib has a favorable tolerability profile, with very low rates (≤2%) of class-related grade ≥3 AEs such as diarrhea and rash/acute [3]. These factors support the use of osimertinib in a first-line setting. On the other hand, no targeted treatment options have been clinically validated following the failure of osimertinib, reflecting the heterogeneity of its resistance mechanisms [20,21]. Although clinical trials assessing agents that target putative resistance mechanisms (e.g., MET amplification) [20] are ongoing, currently the most common postprogression therapy following osimertinib is platinum-based chemotherapy [22]. Therefore, sequential use of second-generation EGFR TKIs, followed by osimertinib, could potentially confer a net increase in the duration of chemotherapy-free treatment, and perhaps OS, compared with first-line osimertinib. This hypothesis requires prospective validation. Several ongoing studies should be informative in terms of comparing the OS benefits of different sequential regimens, including final OS analysis of the Phase III FLAURA and AURA-3 trials and the Phase II APPLE trial (which is comparing sequential gefitinib/osimertinib vs first-line osimertinib [23]). However, no ongoing prospective trials are directly comparing outcomes in patients receiving sequential second- and third-generation EGFR TKIs versus patients who receive third-generation EGFR TKIs in the first line.

There are a number of practical and clinical challenges to implementing a sequencing strategy of afatinib followed by osimertinib. First, improvements in the access to, and sensitivity of, T790M mutation detection assays are probably required. The further development of sensitive liquid biopsy techniques may help address this issue. Of note, in a recent study that utilized a sensitive droplet-digital PCR technique, the T790M detection rate in 67 patients who progressed on afatinib was 73%, suggesting that liquid biopsy tests could increase the identification of patients who may benefit from second-line osimertinib [5]. Second, approximately 30–40% of patients treated with first-line afatinib will progress due to T790M-independent mechanisms and will therefore be ineligible for subsequent osimertinib. Potential treatment options for these patients, such as atezolizumab, bevacizumab and chemotherapy [24], require further evaluation in this setting. Third, not all patients who receive first-line afatinib will be deemed fit enough to receive subsequent therapy, although recent data indicate a very high rate of subsequent therapy after afatinib (~80%), especially in countries with optimized supportive cancer care [9]. Finally, second-generation TKIs, such as afatinib, are probably associated with higher rates of grade ≥3 AEs than osimertinib (though no head-to-head data exist). However, clinical trial and real-world data indicate that afatinib-related AEs are predictable and manageable with tolerability-guided dose adjustment [25–27], such that discontinuation rates due to AEs with afatinib and osimertinib are comparable.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, these retrospective results from the GioTag study indicate that sequential afatinib and osimertinib may confer encouraging OS in patients with EGFR mutation-positive NSCLC and T790M in a real-world clinical setting, especially in Del19-positive patients. Therefore, sequential EGFR TKI therapy warrants further consideration as a potential means of providing prolonged, chemotherapy-free, clinical benefit.

### Summary points

- Previously, the real-world observational GioTag study indicated that sequential use of afatinib and osimertinib warranted further assessment as a treatment strategy in patients with EGFR mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer; however, overall survival (OS) data were immature.
- In this updated analysis, median OS was 41.3 months (90% CI: 36.8–46.3) and 2-year OS rate was 80%.
- In patients with an EGFR Del19 mutation at the onset of treatment with afatinib, median OS was 45.7 months (90% CI: 45.3–51.5) and 2-year OS rate was 82%.
- Overall, the median time on EGFR-TKI treatment was 28.1 months (90% CI: 26.8–30.3).
- Median time on osimertinib treatment was 15.6 months (90% CI: 13.8–17.1) indicating that substantial clinical benefit with osimertinib can be achieved in a second-line setting following afatinib.
- These data, along with high rate of accrual of T790M in patients treated with afatinib, especially in patients with Del19-positive disease, indicate that sequential afatinib followed by osimertinib is potentially a feasible therapeutic strategy.
- Prospective data are required to evaluate the OS of patients treated with different EGFR-TKIs, and sequential regimens, in patients with EGFR mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer.
Supplementary data
An infographic accompanies this paper at the end of the references section. To download the infographic that accompanies this paper, please visit the journal website at:

Author contributions
The authors were fully responsible for all content and editorial decisions, were involved at all stages of manuscript development and have approved the final version.

Acknowledgments
We thank the patients, their families, the investigators and staff who participated in the study.

Financial & competing interests disclosure
This study was supported by Boehringer Ingelheim. MJ Hochmair reports personal fees from Speakers honorarium from Boehringer Ingelheim, AstraZeneca and Roche. A Morabito has received honoraria from Boehringer Ingelheim, Roche, AstraZeneca, Pfizer, MSD and Bristol-Myers Squibb. D Hao reports research funding and consultancy from Boehringer Ingelheim and AstraZeneca. RA Soo reports grants and personal fees from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees from BMS, Boehringer Ingelheim, Celgene, Lilly, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Taiho, Takeda and Yuhan. JC-H Yang reports personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Roche/Genentech, Chugai, Astellas, MSD, Merck Serono, Pfizer, Novartis, Celgene, Merrimack, Yuhan Pharmaceuticals, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Ono Pharmaceuticals, Daiichi Sankyo, AstraZeneca, Hansoh Pharmaceuticals and Takeda Pharmaceuticals. B Halmos reports grants and personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, AstraZeneca, Pfizer, Novartis and Takeda, personal fees from Genentech/Roche, and grants from Merck. L Wang and A Märtén report employment with Boehringer Ingelheim. T Cufer reports consultancy and honoraria from AstraZeneca, Roche, Pfizer, MSD, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and Boehringer Ingelheim. C-T Yang and R Gucalp report no competing interests. The authors have no other relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript apart from those disclosed.

Data sharing statement
The datasets generated and analyzed during the study are available from MJ Hochmair on reasonable request. Clinical trial registration number: NCT03370770.

Ethical conduct of research
The study was carried out in compliance with the protocol, the principles laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, in accordance with the International Conference on Harmonization Harmonized Tripartite Guideline for Good Clinical Practice, Good Epidemiological Practice, Guidelines for Good Pharmacoepidemiology Practice and relevant sponsor Standard Operating Procedures. The study was initiated only after all required legal documentation was reviewed and approved by the respective institutional review board/independent ethics committee and competent authority according to national and international regulations.

Open access
This work is licensed under the Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

References
Papers of special note have been highlighted as: ● of interest; ●● of considerable interest